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## Executive Summary

This study provides the proficiency projection of Istation Reading Formative Assessment (Istation Reading) on the Oklahoma School Testing Program (OSTP) English Language Arts (ELA) assessments for grades 3 through 8. Classification accuracy is also provided. All data came from students in three school districts in Oklahoma.

The Pearson product-moment correlations of Istation Reading middle-of-theyear (MOY) scores and OSTP ELA scores range from 0.68 to 0.81 , and for Istation end-of-the-year (EOY) scores and OSTP ELA scores, they range from 0.69 to 0.82 . This indicates strong associations between Istation Reading and the OSTP ELA assessment. The linking study between the OSTP ELA and Istation Reading was conducted using multinomial logistic regression. Low probability of attaining a level was defined as less than .330 , a medium probability of attaining a level was $.330-.660$, and a high probability of attaining a level was set at greater than . 660 .

At MOY, in order to achieve a high probability of meeting OSTP ELA performance level 2 (Basic Proficiency), students had to attain Istation scores at the following percentile ranks:

- Third grade: 45 th
- Fourth grade: 50th
- Fifth grade: 35th
- Sixth grade: 35th
- Seventh grade: 45 th
- Eights grade: 25th

To attain a high probability of meeting OSTP ELA performance level 3 (Proficient) or higher, students needed to reach the following percentile ranks on Istation Reading:

- Third grade: 80th
- Fourth grade: 80th
- Fifth grade: 80th
- Sixth grade: 85 th
- Seventh grade: 85th
- Eights grade:85th

At EOY, students had to attain Istation Reading scores at the following percentile ranks to have a high probability of meeting OSTP ELA performance level 2 (Basic Proficiency) or higher:

- Third grade: 50th
- Fourth grade: 45 th
- Fifth grade: 40th
- Sixth grade: 35 th
- Seventh grade: 40th
- Eights grade: 30th

To attain a high probability of meeting OSTP ELA performance level 3 (Proficient) or higher, students needed to reach the following percentile ranks on Istation Reading:

- Third grade: 85th
- Fourth grade: 80th
- Fifth grade: 80th
- Sixth grade: 85th
- Seventh grade: 95th
- Eighth grade: 95th

Classification accuracy analyses were conducted. At MOY, $82 \%$ of students were correctly classified on Istation Reading with respect to the OSTP ELA. For example, 77\% of students who performed below the cut point on Istation Reading did not meet level 2 or above on the OSTP ELA assessment; 85\% of students who performed above the cut point on Istation Reading met level 2 or above on the OSTP ELA assessment. Istation Reading accurately predicted meeting Basic Proficiency on the OSTP ELA assessment about $81 \%$ of the time at MOY.

At EOY, the percentage of students correctly classified on Istation Reading with respect to OSTP ELA was approximately $81 \%$ across grades: $77 \%$ of students who performed below the cut point on Istation Reading did not meet level 2 or above on OSTP ELA, and 84\% of students who performed above the cut point on Istation Reading met level 2 or above on OSTP ELA. Istation Reading accurately predicted meeting Basic Proficiency on the OSTP ELA assessment about 80\% of the time at EOY.

## Introduction

This study provides the proficiency projection of Istation Reading Formative Assessment (Istation Reading) observed scores on the OSTP ELA scores for grades 3 through 8. Students took these two assessments during the 2021-2022 school year, and a correlational study and classification accuracy were also conducted.

Regular administration of Istation assessments (either monthly or three times each school year during benchmarking assessment months) and the administration of the OSTP ELA in the spring present an opportunity for conducting a linking study between the Istation Reading and OSTP ELA assessments. The results from this study can be useful for teachers and school administrators to prepare students for the OSTP ELA in the spring.

The Istation Reading assessments have strong correlations with other state assessments, and linking studies with other assessments demonstrated that Istation Reading can be used to project student proficiency on end-of-year assessments such as the State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (STAAR) (Wolf \& Locke, 2023), Virginia Standards of Learning (Campbell, Sutter, \& Lambie, 2019), Ohio AIR (LePlante, 2019), Renaissance STAR (Campbell, Sutter, Lambie, \& Tinstman Jones, 2019), CMAS ELA (Patarapichayatham, 2019), Idaho SAT (Wolfe \& Ross, 2020), New Jersey Student Learning Standards (NJSLA) (Wolf \& Locke, 2022), and PARCC (Cook \& Ross, 2020). All information can be found on our website (www.istation.com).

## Background

## Istation Reading Assessments

Istation Reading assessments utilize a computer adaptive testing (CAT) approach based on two-parameter item response theory, which enables measurement of critical domains such as reading comprehension, fluency, vocabulary, and spelling. These assessments are highly efficient, capable of tracking progress within or across academic years, and can be administered to an entire classroom, school, or district in as little as 30 minutes, easily fitting within the school day. Immediate online availability of student results provides teachers and administrators insight into each student's past and current performance, as well as skill growth. Teachers receive alerts when students are not
making adequate progress, allowing them to modify instruction before a pattern of failure develops (Mathes, 2011).

Istation Reading helps teachers identify deficits and provide differentiated instruction according to a student's pattern of strengths and weaknesses. Istation Reading is available for prekindergarten through 8th grade students and has a continuous vertical scale that assesses reading ability across these grades. In addition to detailed reports, Istation provides teachers and other school personnel with links to teaching resources and targeted intervention strategies (Mathes et al., 2023). Scaled scores range from 100 to 900 . There are five performance levels for Istation Reading:

- Level 1: at or below the 20th percentile rank
- Level 2: between the 21st and 40th percentile rank
- Level 3: between the 41st and 60th percentile rank
- Level 4: between the 61st and 80th percentile rank
- Level 5: at or above the 81st percentile rank


## Oklahoma OSTP ELA Assessment

The OSTP ELA is a statewide computer based summative assessment for English language arts at the end of grades 3 through 8 and grade 11. Items are aligned to the Oklahoma Academic Standards and are based on passages composed of literary and informational texts. Because it is a single measure taken at the conclusion of a grade, OSTP ELA scores should be interpreted and utilized alongside additional measures. Classroom summative and formative assessments in English language arts and interim assessments can provide important supplementary information.

The OSTP ELA is designed to provide evidence that determines grade-level proficiency. As shown in Table 1, students receive a performance level and a numerical scaled score that corresponds to the knowledge, skills, and abilities that students must demonstrate to be classified into one of four levels:

- Below Basic: Students have not performed at least at the Basic Level.
- Basic: Students demonstrate evidence of partial mastery of the essential knowledge and skills appropriate to their grade level.
- Proficient: Students demonstrate mastery over appropriate grade-level subject matter and readiness for the next grade level.
- Advanced: Students demonstrate superior performance on challenging subject matter.

The purpose of this study is to predict students' performance on the OSTP ELA based on their Istation Reading scores. There are two objectives in the current study:
(1) Use Istation Reading scores from the middle-of-the-year (MOY) benchmark month to predict OSTP ELA outcomes.
(2) Use Istation Reading scores from the end-of-the-year (EOY) benchmark month to predict OSTP ELA outcomes.

## Table 1

Scaled Score Ranges for Performance Levels by Grade on the OSTP ELA

| Grade | Level 1 <br> Below Basic | Level 2 <br> Basic | Level 3 <br> Proficient | Level 4 <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $200-276$ | $277-299$ | $300-328$ | $329-399$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $200-274$ | $275-299$ | $300-330$ | $331-399$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $200-270$ | $271-299$ | $300-322$ | $323-399$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $200-268$ | $269-299$ | $300-329$ | $330-399$ |
| 7 | $200-272$ | $273-299$ | $300-322$ | $323-399$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $200-268$ | $269-299$ | $300-321$ | $322-399$ |

Data from the 2021-2022 school year from three districts in the state of Oklahoma were shared with Istation. Data were collected from students in third through eighth grade who had taken the Istation Reading assessment during the 2021-2022 academic school year. The Istation Reading assessment was renormed in 2022 using data from the 2018-2019 school year, and the scores were put on a vertical scale. In the current study, old scores were converted to the new scale using the equating constants from the new norms.

## Methodology

Pearson product-moment correlations between the Istation Reading and OSTP ELA assessments were examined in the first step. Next, multinomial logistic regression methodologies were used to compute probabilities for reaching the Basic level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment. Lastly, classification accuracy analyses were conducted to
identify the cut points that best predict whether a student will reach the Basic level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment.

## Analytic Samples

## Istation Reading

Only students who had a valid Istation Reading and OSTP ELA score were included in the analyses.

Table 2 presents the analytic sample breakdown by grade and school district. District A had the largest sample with 3,021 students, followed by District B ( $\mathrm{n}=1,128$ ), and District C $(\mathrm{n}=623)$. District A and B predominately consisted of students who were White/Non-Hispanic whereas District C consisted mostly of White/Non-Hispanic and other races. A full description of demographic characteristics for each district is available in Table 3. Sample characteristics were obtained from the National Center for Education Statistics website.

Table 2
Sample Size per District by Grade

| Grade | $\mathbf{A}$ |  | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 535 | 414 | 218 | Combined |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 516 | 357 | 215 | 1,167 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 518 | 357 | 190 | 1,068 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 480 |  |  | 480 |
| 7 | 469 |  |  | 469 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 503 |  |  | 503 |

## Table 3

Demographic Description of the Sample by District

| District | Sample Size | Demographic Characteristic | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gender: Female | $49 \%$ |
| A | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 , 0 2 1}$ | Gender: Male | $51 \%$ |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: White/Non-Hispanic | $69 \%$ |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: African American or Black | $3 \%$ |


|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino origin | 15\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Asian or Other | 13\% |
|  |  | Gender: Female | 48\% |
|  |  | Gender: Male | 52\% |
| B | $\mathrm{N}=1,128$ | Race/Ethnicity: White/Non-Hispanic | 74\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: African American or Black | 4\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino origin | 5\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Asian or Other | 18\% |
|  |  | Gender: Female | 48\% |
|  |  | Gender: Male | 52\% |
| C | $\mathrm{N}=623$ | Race/Ethnicity: White/Non-Hispanic | 44\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: African American or Black | 2\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino origin | 11\% |
|  |  | Race/Ethnicity: Asian or Other | 44\% |

## Analytic Framework

To provide teachers and administrators with the information they need to determine whether a student is likely to reach the Basic level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment, the analysis first examined Pearson product-moment correlations to confirm the relationship of performance between the two assessments. Next, multinomial logistic regression determined the probabilities of reaching the Basic (level 2) level or above on the OSTP ELA. The analysis used the Istation score as the predictor and the OSTP ELA performance levels as outcome variables. Students with Istation scores ranging from the 1 st to the 99th percentile ranks were part of the analysis. A selection of 20 Istation Reading scaled scores in MOY and EOY, corresponding to the following percentile ranks, was used: $5,10,15,20,25,30,45,50,55,60,65,70,75,80$, $85,90,95,99$. The model was adapted for each grade individually. The study focused on performance levels 2, 3 , and 4 .

The probability of achieving OSTP ELA performance level 2 (Basic) or above is computed by adding the probabilities of levels 2,3 , and 4 . The probability of achieving OSTP ELA performance level 3 (Proficient) or above is computed by adding the probabilities of levels 3 and 4. Lastly, the probability of achieving the probability for level 4 (Advanced) is the probability only for level 4 . The analyses were conducted using R software with the nnet package. Lastly, classification accuracy analyses determined Istation cut points that help inform of differentiating students who will or will not achieve level 2 Basic or higher on the OSTP ELA.

## Results

## Istation Reading and OSTP ELA Descriptive Statistics

Tables 4 through 6 present descriptive statistics for OSTP ELA and Istation Reading performance for each benchmark by district and grade. Table 7 shows data for districts combined.

## Table 4

District A Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) for OSTP ELA and Istation Reading Scores by Grade

| Grade | OSTP <br> Score (SD) | Istation BOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation <br> MOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation EOY <br> Reading (SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $280.36(31.28)$ | $427.57(61.45)$ | $460.57(65.33)$ | $485.13(69.29)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $276.09(32.61)$ | $474.35(61.55)$ | $496.55(67.13)$ | $510.61(68.57)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $284.19(27.88)$ | $515.17(61.08)$ | $531.60(62.63)$ | $544.80(60.86)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $276.93(30.35)$ | $529.70(68.75)$ | $534.89(86.73)$ | $547.90(85.90)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $268.50(32.96)$ | $546.54(72.06)$ | $549.14(96.71)$ | $557.92(106.24)$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $273.98(30.10)$ | $559.80(79.92)$ | $577.55(98.45)$ | $595.75(112.75)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 5

District B Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) for OSTP ELA and Istation Reading Scores by Grade

| Grade | OSTP <br> Score (SD) | Istation BOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation MOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation EOY <br> Reading (SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $292.79(35.76)$ | $448.95(64.63)$ | $480.28(70.75)$ | $501.16(71.43)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $284.87(33.89)$ | $490.61(69.59)$ | $520.87(73.18)$ | $536.07(71.94)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $292.47(28.40)$ | $536.90(58.51)$ | $560.32(62.93)$ | $573.90(62.58)$ |

## Table 6

District C Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) for OSTP ELA and Istation Reading Scores

| Grade | OSTP <br> Score (SD) | Istation BOY <br> Reading (SD) | Istation MOY <br> Reading (SD) | Istation EOY <br> Reading (SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $281.22(33.56)$ | $434.77(55.88)$ | $470.98(65.64)$ | $490.26(70.96)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $274.82(41.04)$ | $478.85(62.05)$ | $499.07(64.73)$ | $509.06(69.57)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $284.95(33.07)$ | $522.88(63.05)$ | $539.50(69.99)$ | $569.35(71.20)$ |

## Table 7

Combined Sample Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) for OSTP ELA and Istation Reading Scores by Grade

| Grade | OSTP <br> Score (SD) | Istation BOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation <br> MOY <br> Reading <br> (SD) | Istation EOY <br> Reading (SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $284.93(33.84)$ | $436.50(62.31)$ | $469.42(67.85)$ | $491.76(70.67)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $278.72(35.07)$ | $480.59(64.75)$ | $504.91(69.51)$ | $518.67(70.88)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $287.10(29.27)$ | $523.84(61.30)$ | $542.54(65.32)$ | $557.26(63.63)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $276.93(30.35)$ | $529.70(68.75)$ | $534.89(86.73)$ | $547.90(85.90)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $268.50(32.96)$ | $546.54(72.06)$ | $549.14(96.71)$ | $557.92(106.24)$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $273.98(30.10)$ | $559.80(79.92)$ | $577.55(98.45)$ | $595.75(112.75)$ |

Table 8 presents the proportion of students in each OSTP ELA level by grade for all districts. The largest proportion of students performed at the Below Basic and Basic levels across all grades.

## Table 8

Percentage of Students by OSTP ELA Performance Level

| Grade | Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $37 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $43 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $28 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 7 | $52 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $41 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

## Correlational Study: Istation Reading and OSTP ELA

Table 9 shows the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between Istation Reading scores and OSTP ELA scores for MOY and EOY for all districts combined. The coefficients for grades 3 through 8 range from . 68 (grade 7) to 82 (grade 4), indicating a strong positive relationship between Istation Reading and the OSTP ELA. If a student does well on Istation Reading, then it is likely that the student will do well on the OSTP ELA assessment, particularly for grades 3 through 8.

Table 9
Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Coefficients between Istation and OSTP

| Grade | MOY Istation <br> Reading | EOY Istation <br> Reading |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $.79^{*}$ | $.79^{*}$ |
| 4 | $.78^{*}$ | $.82^{*}$ |
| 5 | $.81^{*}$ | $.80^{*}$ |
| 6 | $.75^{*}$ | $.76^{*}$ |
| 7 | $.68^{*}$ | $.69^{*}$ |
| 8 | $.76^{*}$ | $.71^{*}$ |
| ${ }^{*} p<.001$ |  |  |

## Linking Study: Istation Reading and OSTP ELA

## MOY Istation and OSTP ELA at Winter Benchmarking

Tables 10 through 12 are concordance tables derived from statistical linking procedures that link Istation Reading scores and OSTP ELA performance levels. Concordance tables serve as valuable tools for various stakeholders, including educators, parents, administrators, researchers, and policymakers, by offering essential information to assess students' academic performance. For example, concordance tables may aid in identifying strengths and weaknesses in specific subject areas, assisting in the development of targeted interventions and support programs.

The probabilities of meeting a OSTP ELA performance level were divided into low (less than .330), medium (.330-.660), and high (greater than .660).

## Table 10

Third and Fourth Grades Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at MOY

| Grade | Overall <br> Score | Percentile | Basic <br> Probability | Basic | Proficient <br> Probability | Proficient | Advanced <br> Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Istation?

| 4 | 493 | 35 | 0.484 | Medium | 0.084 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 501 | 40 | 0.568 | Medium | 0.121 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 509 | 45 | 0.651 | Medium | 0.169 | Low | 0.003 | Low |
|  | 516 | 50 | 0.719 | High | 0.219 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
|  | 524 | 55 | 0.788 | High | 0.285 | Low | 0.007 | Low |
|  | 531 | 60 | 0.840 | High | 0.349 | Medium | 0.011 | Low |
|  | 539 | 65 | 0.887 | High | 0.425 | Medium | 0.016 | Low |
|  | 547 | 70 | 0.923 | High | 0.503 | Medium | 0.024 | Low |
|  | 556 | 75 | 0.952 | High | 0.588 | Medium | 0.037 | Low |
|  | 566 | 80 | 0.973 | High | 0.674 | High | 0.056 | Low |
|  | 578 | 85 | 0.987 | High | 0.764 | High | 0.089 | Low |
|  | 593 | 90 | 0.995 | High | 0.850 | High | 0.146 | Low |
|  | 616 | 95 | 0.999 | High | 0.933 | High | 0.276 | Low |
|  | 661 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.991 | High | 0.619 | Medium |

Table 11
Fifth and Sixth Grades Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at MOY

| Grade | Overall Score | Percentile | Basic Probability | Basic | Proficient Probability | Proficient | Advanced Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 432 | 5 | 0.042 | Low | 0.000 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 461 | 10 | 0.131 | Low | 0.003 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 479 | 15 | 0.248 | Low | 0.009 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 492 | 20 | 0.370 | Medium | 0.020 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 504 | 25 | 0.501 | Medium | 0.039 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 513 | 30 | 0.602 | Medium | 0.062 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 522 | 35 | 0.697 | High | 0.094 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
|  | 531 | 40 | 0.780 | High | 0.135 | Low | 0.008 | Low |
|  | 539 | 45 | 0.840 | High | 0.182 | Low | 0.012 | Low |
| 5 | 547 | 50 | 0.887 | High | 0.236 | Low | 0.019 | Low |
|  | 555 | 55 | 0.923 | High | 0.299 | Low | 0.029 | Low |
|  | 563 | 60 | 0.949 | High | 0.368 | Medium | 0.042 | Low |
|  | 571 | 65 | 0.967 | High | 0.442 | Medium | 0.060 | Low |
|  | 580 | 70 | 0.981 | High | 0.528 | Medium | 0.085 | Low |
|  | 589 | 75 | 0.989 | High | 0.612 | Medium | 0.118 | Low |
|  | 600 | 80 | 0.995 | High | 0.707 | High | 0.168 | Low |
|  | 612 | 85 | 0.998 | High | 0.795 | High | 0.232 | Low |
|  | 629 | 90 | 0.999 | High | 0.886 | High | 0.339 | Medium |
|  | 653 | 95 | 1.000 | High | 0.956 | High | 0.500 | Medium |
|  | 702 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.996 | High | 0.775 | High |
|  | 453 | 5 | 0.142 | Low | 0.001 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 480 | 10 | 0.267 | Low | 0.004 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 498 | 15 | 0.383 | Medium | 0.010 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 512 | 20 | 0.486 | Medium | 0.021 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 523 | 25 | 0.569 | Medium | 0.034 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 533 | 30 | 0.644 | Medium | 0.053 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 543 | 35 | 0.714 | High | 0.080 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 552 | 40 | 0.771 | High | 0.113 | Low | 0.001 | Low |


|  | 560 | 45 | 0.816 | High | 0.150 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 568 | 50 | 0.855 | High | 0.196 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 576 | 55 | 0.888 | High | 0.250 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
|  | 585 | 60 | 0.919 | High | 0.319 | Low | 0.007 | Low |
|  | 593 | 65 | 0.941 | High | 0.388 | Medium | 0.011 | Low |
|  | 602 | 70 | 0.960 | High | 0.470 | Medium | 0.017 | Low |
|  | 612 | 75 | 0.975 | High | 0.563 | Medium | 0.028 | Low |
|  | 622 | 80 | 0.985 | High | 0.651 | Medium | 0.045 | Low |
|  | 635 | 85 | 0.992 | High | 0.753 | High | 0.076 | Low |
|  | 651 | 90 | 0.997 | High | 0.850 | High | 0.136 | Low |
|  | 675 | 95 | 0.999 | High | 0.939 | High | 0.278 | Low |
|  | 721 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.993 | High | 0.652 | Medium |

Table 12
Seventh and Eighth Grades Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at MOY

| Grade | Overall Score | Percentile | Basic Probability | Basic | Proficient Probability | Proficient | Advanced Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 432 | 5 | 0.092 | Low | 0.003 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 461 | 10 | 0.178 | Low | 0.010 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 479 | 15 | 0.267 | Low | 0.022 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 492 | 20 | 0.351 | Medium | 0.038 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 504 | 25 | 0.435 | Medium | 0.059 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 513 | 30 | 0.510 | Medium | 0.084 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 522 | 35 | 0.587 | Medium | 0.117 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 531 | 40 | 0.649 | Medium | 0.150 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
|  | 539 | 45 | 0.714 | High | 0.194 | Low | 0.006 | Low |
|  | 547 | 50 | 0.767 | High | 0.239 | Low | 0.010 | Low |
|  | 555 | 55 | 0.809 | High | 0.283 | Low | 0.014 | Low |
|  | 563 | 60 | 0.851 | High | 0.338 | Medium | 0.022 | Low |
|  | 571 | 65 | 0.886 | High | 0.396 | Medium | 0.032 | Low |

## Istation

|  | 580 | 70 | 0.917 | High | 0.463 | Medium | 0.050 | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 589 | 75 | 0.941 | High | 0.532 | Medium | 0.074 | Low |
|  | 600 | 80 | 0.963 | High | 0.614 | Medium | 0.114 | Low |
|  | 612 | 85 | 0.978 | High | 0.699 | High | 0.175 | Low |
|  | 629 | 90 | 0.990 | High | 0.796 | High | 0.282 | Low |
|  | 653 | 95 | 0.997 | High | 0.902 | High | 0.481 | Medium |
|  | 702 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.985 | High | 0.806 | High |
|  | 453 | 5 | 0.194 | Low | 0.009 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 480 | 10 | 0.347 | Medium | 0.028 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 498 | 15 | 0.474 | Medium | 0.054 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 512 | 20 | 0.578 | Medium | 0.086 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
|  | 523 | 25 | 0.661 | High | 0.121 | Low | 0.007 | Low |
|  | 533 | 30 | 0.726 | High | 0.158 | Low | 0.010 | Low |
|  | 543 | 35 | 0.780 | High | 0.198 | Low | 0.014 | Low |
|  | 552 | 40 | 0.827 | High | 0.243 | Low | 0.019 | Low |
|  | 560 | 45 | 0.864 | High | 0.287 | Low | 0.025 | Low |
| 8 | 568 | 50 | 0.892 | High | 0.330 | Low | 0.031 | Low |
|  | 576 | 55 | 0.917 | High | 0.380 | Medium | 0.040 | Low |
|  | 585 | 60 | 0.937 | High | 0.432 | Medium | 0.050 | Low |
|  | 593 | 65 | 0.952 | High | 0.478 | Medium | 0.060 | Low |
|  | 602 | 70 | 0.966 | High | 0.535 | Medium | 0.075 | Low |
|  | 612 | 75 | 0.976 | High | 0.591 | Medium | 0.092 | Low |
|  | 622 | 80 | 0.984 | High | 0.649 | Medium | 0.113 | Low |
|  | 635 | 85 | 0.990 | High | 0.711 | High | 0.141 | Low |
|  | 651 | 90 | 0.995 | High | 0.777 | High | 0.179 | Low |
|  | 675 | 95 | 0.998 | High | 0.856 | High | 0.245 | Low |
|  | 721 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.945 | High | 0.387 | Medium |

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the MOY Istation Reading percentiles associated with the probabilities of attaining the OSTP ELA Proficient performance level by grade.

Third grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 489-512 (60th to 75th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 522 and higher than the 80th percentile had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

Fourth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 531-556 (60th to 75th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 566 had a high probability of reaching the Proficient level or higher.

Fifth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 563-589 (60th to 75th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher. Students with a score of 600 or greater had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

Sixth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 593-622 (65th to 80th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of reaching the Proficient level or higher. Students who attained an Istation Reading score above 635 ( 85 th percentile rank) had a high probability of reaching the Proficient level.

Seventh grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 563-600 (60th to 80th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 612 and higher than the 85th percentile had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

Eighth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 576-622 (55th to 80th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 635 and higher than the 85th percentile had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

These results show that attaining the Proficient level or above on the OSTP ELA students needed Istation scores higher than the $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile to have a high probability of attaining Proficient or higher on the OSTP ELA. This was consistent across grades three through five. Students in grades six through eight had to attain Istation scores at or above the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile to achieve a high probability of attaining Proficient or higher on the OSTP ELA.

Figure 1
MOY Istation Reading Percentiles and OSTP ELA Proficient Probabilities by Grade


## EOY Istation Reading and OSTP ELA at Spring Benchmarking

Tables 13 through 15 are concordance tables derived from statistical linking procedures that link Istation Reading scores and OSTP ELA performance levels.

## Table 13

Third and Fourth Grade Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at EOY

| Grade | Overall Score | Percentile | Basic Probability | Basic | Proficient Probability | Proficient | Advanced Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 371 | 5 | 0.014 | Low | 0.000 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 402 | 10 | 0.048 | Low | 0.002 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 422 | 15 | 0.103 | Low | 0.006 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 436 | 20 | 0.173 | Low | 0.015 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 448 | 25 | 0.260 | Low | 0.031 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 458 | 30 | 0.354 | Medium | 0.053 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 467 | 35 | 0.451 | Medium | 0.084 | Low | 0.005 | Low |
|  | 475 | 40 | 0.543 | Medium | 0.120 | Low | 0.008 | Low |
|  | 483 | 45 | 0.635 | Medium | 0.167 | Low | 0.013 | Low |
| 3 | 491 | 50 | 0.719 | High | 0.222 | Low | 0.019 | Low |
|  | 499 | 55 | 0.793 | High | 0.285 | Low | 0.029 | Low |
|  | 506 | 60 | 0.846 | High | 0.345 | Medium | 0.039 | Low |
|  | 514 | 65 | 0.894 | High | 0.415 | Medium | 0.055 | Low |
|  | 523 | 70 | 0.932 | High | 0.495 | Medium | 0.076 | Low |
|  | 532 | 75 | 0.959 | High | 0.573 | Medium | 0.103 | Low |
|  | 542 | 80 | 0.977 | High | 0.653 | Medium | 0.138 | Low |
|  | 555 | 85 | 0.990 | High | 0.744 | High | 0.193 | Low |
|  | 571 | 90 | 0.996 | High | 0.833 | High | 0.271 | Low |
|  | 596 | 95 | 0.999 | High | 0.923 | High | 0.411 | Medium |
|  | 653 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.991 | High | 0.711 | High |
|  | 419 | 5 | 0.013 | Low | 0.000 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 448 | 10 | 0.048 | Low | 0.001 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 465 | 15 | 0.101 | Low | 0.005 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 479 | 20 | 0.180 | Low | 0.013 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 490 | 25 | 0.271 | Low | 0.027 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 500 | 30 | 0.378 | Medium | 0.049 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 509 | 35 | 0.489 | Medium | 0.081 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 517 | 40 | 0.591 | Medium | 0.122 | Low | 0.002 | Low |

## Istation

|  | 525 | 45 | 0.688 | High | 0.173 | Low | 0.003 | Low |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 533 | 50 | 0.774 | High | 0.235 | Low | 0.005 | Low |
|  | 541 | 55 | 0.843 | High | 0.304 | Low | 0.008 | Low |
|  | 549 | 60 | 0.895 | High | 0.380 | Medium | 0.013 | Low |
|  | 557 | 65 | 0.933 | High | 0.457 | Medium | 0.020 | Low |
|  | 565 | 70 | 0.958 | High | 0.533 | Medium | 0.030 | Low |
|  | 575 | 75 | 0.978 | High | 0.624 | Medium | 0.046 | Low |
|  | 586 | 80 | 0.990 | High | 0.713 | High | 0.071 | Low |
|  | 598 | 85 | 0.996 | High | 0.794 | High | 0.110 | Low |
|  | 614 | 90 | 0.999 | High | 0.876 | High | 0.182 | Low |
|  | 638 | 95 | 1.000 | High | 0.949 | High | 0.335 | Medium |
|  | 685 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.994 | High | 0.692 | High |

Table 14

Fifth and Sixth Grade Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at EOY

| Grade | Overall <br> Score | Percentile | Basic <br> Probability | Basic | Proficient <br> Probability | Proficient | Advanced <br> Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Istation

| 5 | 559 | 50 | 0.847 | High | 0.255 | Low | 0.022 | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 567 | 55 | 0.890 | High | 0.315 | Low | 0.032 | Low |
|  | 575 | 60 | 0.924 | High | 0.381 | Medium | 0.045 | Low |
|  | 584 | 65 | 0.951 | High | 0.457 | Medium | 0.065 | Low |
|  | 593 | 70 | 0.969 | High | 0.535 | Medium | 0.091 | Low |
|  | 603 | 75 | 0.982 | High | 0.619 | Medium | 0.127 | Low |
|  | 614 | 80 | 0.991 | High | 0.704 | High | 0.176 | Low |
|  | 627 | 85 | 0.996 | High | 0.791 | High | 0.246 | Low |
|  | 643 | 90 | 0.999 | High | 0.872 | High | 0.345 | Medium |
|  | 669 | 95 | 1.000 | High | 0.950 | High | 0.516 | Medium |
|  | 719 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.994 | High | 0.786 | High |
| 6 | 462 | 5 | 0.138 | Low | 0.001 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 491 | 10 | 0.273 | Low | 0.006 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 509 | 15 | 0.391 | Medium | 0.015 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 524 | 20 | 0.503 | Medium | 0.030 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 536 | 25 | 0.595 | Medium | 0.050 | Low | 0.000 | Low |
|  | 547 | 30 | 0.676 | Medium | 0.076 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 556 | 35 | 0.737 | High | 0.105 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
|  | 565 | 40 | 0.792 | High | 0.142 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
|  | 574 | 45 | 0.839 | High | 0.187 | Low | 0.003 | Low |
|  | 583 | 50 | 0.878 | High | 0.240 | Low | 0.005 | Low |
|  | 591 | 55 | 0.907 | High | 0.294 | Low | 0.007 | Low |
|  | 600 | 60 | 0.933 | High | 0.361 | Medium | 0.011 | Low |
|  | 608 | 65 | 0.951 | High | 0.425 | Medium | 0.017 | Low |
|  | 617 | 70 | 0.967 | High | 0.499 | Medium | 0.025 | Low |
|  | 627 | 75 | 0.979 | High | 0.582 | Medium | 0.039 | Low |
|  | 638 | 80 | 0.988 | High | 0.667 | Medium | 0.060 | Low |
|  | 651 | 85 | 0.994 | High | 0.757 | High | 0.096 | Low |
|  | 667 | 90 | 0.997 | High | 0.845 | High | 0.160 | Low |

## Istation

|  | 692 | 95 | 0.999 | High | 0.933 | High | 0.307 | Low |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 739 | 99 | 1.000 | High | 0.991 | High | 0.659 | Medium |  |

Table 15

Seventh and Eighth Grade Proficiency Projection for Istation Reading at EOY

| Grade | Overall <br> Score | Percentile | Basic <br> Probability | Basic | Proficient <br> Probability | Proficient | Advanced <br> Probability | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Istation

|  | 462 | 5 | 0.272 | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 491 | 10 | 0.397 | Medium |
|  | 509 | 15 | 0.484 | Medium |
|  | 524 | 20 | 0.559 | Medium |
|  | 536 | 25 | 0.616 | Medium |
|  | 547 | 30 | 0.668 | High |
|  | 556 | 35 | 0.714 | High |
|  | 565 | 40 | 0.750 | High |
|  | 574 | 45 | 0.786 | High |
|  | 583 | 50 | 0.816 | High |
|  | 591 | 55 | 0.844 | High |
|  | 600 | 60 | 0.868 | High |
|  | 608 | 65 | 0.890 | High |
|  | 617 | 70 | 0.909 | High |
|  | 627 | 75 | 0.927 | High |
|  | 638 | 80 | 0.944 | High |
|  | 651 | 85 | 0.959 | High |
|  | 667 | 90 | 0.973 | High |
|  | 692 | 95 | 0.985 | High |
|  | 739 | 99 | 0.996 | High |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0.019 | Low | 0.001 | Low |
| 0.043 | Low | 0.002 | Low |
| 0.068 | Low | 0.004 | Low |
| 0.096 | Low | 0.006 | Low |
| 0.123 | Low | 0.009 | Low |
| 0.153 | Low | 0.012 | Low |
| 0.185 | Low | 0.015 | Low |
| 0.214 | Low | 0.019 | Low |
| 0.249 | Low | 0.024 | Low |
| 0.284 | Low | 0.029 | Low |
| 0.320 | Low | 0.035 | Low |
| 0.357 | Medium | 0.042 | Low |
| 0.396 | Medium | 0.050 | Low |
| 0.436 | Medium | 0.059 | Low |
| 0.480 | Medium | 0.070 | Low |
| 0.531 | Medium | 0.084 | Low |
| 0.585 | Medium | 0.102 | Low |
| 0.651 | Medium | 0.126 | Low |
| 0.736 | High | 0.167 | Low |
| 0.855 | High | 0.257 | Low |

Figure 2 is a graphical representation of the EOY Istation Reading percentiles associated with the probabilities of attaining the OSTPA ELA Proficient performance level by grade.

Third grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 506-542 (60th to 80th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 555 and higher than the 85th percentile had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

Fourth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 549-575 (60th to 75th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 586 ( $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile) had a high probability of reaching the Proficient level or higher.

Fifth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 575-603 (60th to 75th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher. Students with a score of 614 or greater had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher (80 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile).

Sixth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 600-638 (60th to 80th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of reaching the Proficient level or higher. Students who attained an Istation Reading score around 651 (85th percentile or higher) had a high probability of reaching Proficient.

Seventh grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 584-643 (65th to 90th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the OSTP ELA Proficient level or higher. Students with a score higher than 669 and higher than the 95th percentile had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher.

Eighth grade students who attained an Istation Reading score of 600-667 (60th to 90th percentile ranks) had a medium probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher on OSTP ELA. Students with a score of 692 or greater had a high probability of achieving the Proficient level or higher (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile).

These results show that attaining the Proficient level or above on the OSTP ELA students need to score at the $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile rank or higher to attain a high probability of reaching the Proficient level or higher. This was found across all grades.

Figure 2
EOY Istation Reading Percentiles and OSTP ELA Proficient Probabilities by Grade


## Classification Accuracy: Istation Reading and OSTP ELA

Classification accuracy was conducted at MOY and EOY to predict whether students in the sample would achieve the Basic level or higher on the OSTP ELA assessment. A higher classification accuracy rate indicates stronger congruence between Istation Reading and OSTP ELA assessments. The purpose of these analyses was to determine Istation Reading cut points that could help differentiate students who would or would not attain Basic Proficiency or higher levels on the OSTP ELA assessment.

Classification accuracy of Istation Reading cut scores was performed at the 3040th percentiles and OSTP ELA Basic Proficiency level or higher. The area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive power, negative predictive power, and the overall rate were computed and compared to determine the best Istation Reading cut point to identify students who would most likely meet the Basic Proficiency level or higher on the OSTP ELA assessment. Results in Table 16 show that the cut scores vary by grade and benchmark on Istation Reading at MOY and EOY.

## MOY Classification Accuracy: Istation Reading and OSTP ELA

The AUC ranged from 0.79 to 0.85 , indicating that the percentage of students correctly classified on Istation Reading with respect to the OSTP ELA assessment was approximately $82 \%$ across grade levels. Sensitivity ranged from 0.66 to 0.87 , indicating that approximately $77 \%$ of students who performed below the cut point on Istation Reading did not meet the Basic Proficiency level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment. The specificity ranged from 0.78 to 0.92 , indicating that approximately $85 \%$ of students who performed above the cut point on Istation Reading were likely to meet the Basic Proficiency level or above on the OSTP ELA. Istation Reading accurately predicted attaining Basic Proficiency and above on the OSTP ELA assessment about $80 \%$ of the time.

## EOY Classification Accuracy: Istation Reading and OSTP ELA

The AUC ranged from 0.77 to 0.85 , indicating that the percentage of students correctly classified on Istation Reading with respect to the OSTP ELA assessment was approximately $81 \%$ across grade levels. Sensitivity ranged from 0.66 to 0.87 , indicating that approximately $77 \%$ of students who performed below the cut point on Istation Reading did not meet the Basic Proficiency level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment. The specificity ranged from 0.80 to 0.89 , indicating that approximately $84 \%$ of students
who performed above the cut point on Istation Reading were likely to meet the Basic Proficiency level or above on the OSTP ELA. Istation Reading accurately predicted attaining Basic Proficiency and above on the OSTP ELA assessment about $80 \%$ of the time.

## Table 16

Classification Accuracy Indices by Benchmark and Grade

| Grade | Cut Point | Benchmark | AUC | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 35th | Winter | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.78 |
|  | 40th | Spring | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.80 |
| 4 | 40th | Winter | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.84 |
|  | 40th | Spring | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.87 |
| 5 | 35th | Winter | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
|  | 35th | Spring | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.81 |
| 6 | 30th | Winter | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.87 |
|  | 30th | Spring | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.89 |
| 7 | 30th | Winter | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.83 |
|  | 30th | Spring | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.82 |
| 8 | 30th | Winter | 0.79 | 0.66 | 0.92 |
|  | 30th | Spring | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.88 |

## Conclusion

The present study demonstrated a strong positive correlation between Istation Reading scores and OSTP ELA performance. The MOY and EOY Istation Reading scores were effective predictors of student achievement on the OSTP ELA assessment. The predictive power was strong for grades 3 through 8 , where a clear relationship between higher Istation Reading scores and the likelihood of attaining the Proficient level or above on the OSTP ELA assessment was observed.

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients for these grades ranged between .68 and .82 , confirming the presence of a robust relationship between Istation Reading and OSTP ELA scores. The probabilities of meeting various performance levels on the OSTP ELA assessment showed a clear trend: the likelihood of achieving high performance levels on the OSTP ELA assessment increased as students attained higher Istation Reading scores. The findings from the classification accuracy analysis reinforced the predictive validity of Istation Reading scores, with approximately $80 \%$ of students correctly classified based on their Istation Reading scores in relation to their OSTP ELA performance.

These results align with the percentage of students in Oklahoma who reached the proficient or advanced levels. The Oklahoma State Department of Education reported that in spring of 2022, between $21 \%$ to $29 \%$ of students reached the proficient level (Oklahoma State Department of Education, 2022). Similarly, in our research students needed to be around the 80th percentile at MOY to have a high probability of reaching proficient, and around the 70th percentile to have greater than .50 probability of reaching proficient on the OSTP ELA.

The results underscore the value of Istation Reading as an assessment tool, not only for tracking student progress within or across academic years, but also for predicting student performance on end-of-grade summative assessments such as the OSTP ELA. Teachers and administrators can effectively utilize these insights to target interventions and support programs more efficiently, thereby promoting improved learning outcomes.
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